LOUISIANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE COMMISSION STATE OF LOUISIANA

REGULAR MEETING

MONDAY,

JUNE 21, 2010

9:29 a.m.

3132 VALLEY CREEK
BATON ROUGE, LOUISIANA

REPORTED BY:

Angie Henning, CVR, CCR Certified Court Reporter

In and for the State of Louisiana

8440 Jefferson Highway, Suite 301

Baton Rouge, Louisiana 70809

23

24

		Page 4
] 1	MR.	POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
2		Okay. As all you can see,
3	Mr.	Robinson is not here today, so I will
4	be	chairing the meeting. Let's start out
5	wi	th the pledge of allegiance.
6		(Pledge of Allegiance.)
7	MR.	POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
8		All right. Ms. Kim, do you want to do
9	th	e roll call for us?
10	MS.	BARON:
11		Glen Robinson?
12	MR.	ROBINSON:
13		(No response.)
14	MS.	BARON:
15		George Brewer?
16	MR.	BREWER:
17		Here.
18	MS.	BARON:
19		Louis Bourgeois?
20	MR.	BOURGEOIS:
21		Here.
22	MS.	BARON:
23		Tony Cormier?
24	MR.	CORMIER:
25		Here.
		I

			Page 5
1	MS.	BARON:	
2		Ron Duplessis?	
3	MR.	DUPLESSIS:	
4		Here.	
5	MS.	BARON:	
6		George Floyd?	
7	MR.	FLOYD:	į
8		Here.	
9	MS.	BARON:	
10		John Poteet?	
11	MR.	POTEET (CHAIRMAN):	
12		Here.	
13	MS.	BARON:	
14		Kirby Roy?	
15	MR.	ROY:)
16		Here.	
17	MS.	BARON:	; ;
18		Darty Smith?	
19	MR.	SMITH:	
20		Here.	
21	MS.	BARON:	
22		And Douglas Turner?	
23	MR.	TURNER:	
24		Here.	
25	MS.	BARON:	

1 Mr. Chairman, we have a quorum. 2 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 3 All right. I don't think we have any public comments today. Derek? 5 MR. PARNELL: No. 7 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): Okav. So we will move onto the items 9 for discussion. The first is the approval 10 of the minutes from the previous meeting. 11 I quess, we need a motion for that. 12 MR. ROY: 13 I move. I move on that. 14 MR. BREWER: 15 Second. 16 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 17 All in favor? 18 (All "Aye" responses.) 19 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 20 Okay. The next thing is, of course, 21 the review of the financial report and the 22 budget. That is why Glen is not here 23 today. He said he didn't want to drive 24 all this way for a 30 minute meeting. So 25 to be honest, we need to get in and get

out of here.

2

3

6

7

Я

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

I guess, what we will do here, Derek, is I am going to kind of turn this over to you and Heather to take, if you wouldn't mind.

MR. PARNELL:

Okay. As we go over the review of the financial report for May, Heather will go ahead and get started. She will actually review all of first two fiscal year-end budget amendments as well.

MS. ELLIS:

Good morning.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
Good morning.

MS. ELLIS:

I will start with the financial statements first. We will go through May 31st, on Page 1. Our total revenues for this year are at \$952,382.26, which leaves a remaining balance of what we budgeted of close to \$170,000.

We had on Page 2, a total year to date balance for expenditures at \$886,873.64.

On Page 3, this shows our monthly

A

revenues for May of \$39,442.59. On

Page 4, our monthly expenditures were

\$61,462.56, which put us \$22,000 short of
what we took in for the month.

On Page 5 and Page 6 is our threemonth comparisons for March, April, and
May of 2010. And on May we took in a
little bit more than we took in for April
which is unusual but good, and our
expenditures are continuing to stay pretty
consistent.

On Page 7 and Page 8, our balance sheet, on Page 7 is petty cash, cash in the bank, CDs, all of the normal stuff is on here. And on Page 8, all of our liability accounts are still listed, and these will fluctuate from month to month depending on what has been paid out and what hasn't been paid out so far that month.

On Page 9, this again shows our revenues for May at \$39,442.59, and our expenditures of \$61,462.56. And as of the end of May, we are in the black with a total of \$65,508.62 to the good.

3

5

6 7

8

11

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

On Page 10, this is our CD summary page and this is unchanged from last And it will also be the same next month, because nothing will change until July 3rd.

On Page 11, our accounts receivable, they have changed a little bit. We had Washington Auto Sales, which was the first on the list, hasn't paid leaving us with a balance owed of \$8,250.

And on Page 12, the main differences for May are going to be the auto maintenance. We paid about \$1,700 more in previous months due to some repairs that had to be made to a couple of the cars. We paid \$844.36 less than the previous month for a telephone due to a credit from telecommunications.

Our miscellaneous fees are for night deposit fees, bank service charges, and criminal record checks. And our office supplies were \$421.44 more than the previous month due to replenishing supplies that we used.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

1 Does anyone have any comments or 2 questions about the May statements? 3 MR. TURNER: I have a question on Page 5, and, 5 John, you might be able to answer this. There is a big variance in the auction 7 transaction fees on April or through March, April to May, double or tripled 9 from \$27,000. 10 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 11 Yes. Well, I can't answer it, because 12 I don't pay those. 13 MR. TURNER: 1.4 What is that? 15 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 16 That is money that we get from the 17 salvage, the \$5 dollars per transaction. 18 So maybe somebody in the office can 19 answer, but, obviously, the transactions, 20 I mean, just looking at this you would say 21 the transactions went up significantly in 22 May, or I don't know if they pay on a --23 MR. TURNER: 24 Is this an accounting thing or 25 something; do you know?

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

No. Somehow --

MS. ELLIS:

Well, because of it being a per transaction fee, what they pay is based on what they sell. So Dusty goes over the reports every month when he gets a check to make sure that they are correct. The amount they sent is correct to the sheet that they send us on how many cars they have sold. So, I mean, that's based on what they send us is how we account for what is coming in.

MR. TURNER:

So, we don't know why it's spiked like that really, huh?

MS. ELLIS:

No. The only way to tell that would be for a field person to go out and find out why they sold so many more cars in May, and some of the fees may be still coming in from April and May --

MR. TURNER:

Right.

MS. ELLIS:

1 -- instead of them sending them in for 2 April. 3 MR. TURNER: Okay. 5 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): Anything else? Any other questions? 7 (No response.) 8 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 9 Okay. 10 MR. PARNELL: 11 Number two, is the fiscal year end 12 budget amendments 2009 and 2010. Heather 13 is going to review all of these items. 14 know that we must get this amended today, 15 actually, to move forward to the end of 16 our fiscal year. 17 I have had Roy Hebert, our CPA, he has 18 reviewed it as well. So if there are any 19 questions, please ask Heather and Roy, 20 they can answer any of those questions for 21 you. So, Heather, if you wouldn't mind? 22 MS. ELLIS: 23 Okay. On the budget, we will go over 24 the first three pages because that -- the 25 last pages are, basically, adding up to

the first three pages. The first three pages is a basic summary of the rest of it. So, for a total of license and auction transaction fees on Page 1, \$972,822.75.

Our enforcement actions are totaling \$9,700 for the year. NSF fees of \$425. Our interest on CDs, \$6,900. Interest on operating account, \$1,950. Our copies, labels, and lists that we run at \$2,470.25, and our miscellaneous revenues \$890.08, for a total of \$995,158.08.

On Page 2, this is a summary of each of the categories that we have expenditures in. Under our salaries on line one, the regular salaries which also includes termination pay is \$425,710.54.

Our other compensation which is per diems for board members is \$6,000. Our related benefits is \$216,724.55, and that would include group benefits, retirement, any kind of benefits that go along with the salaries. And the total salaries category is \$648,435.09. Under our operating expenses for travel, which we

had no administration travel, so this is, basically, field and board member travel, it is \$9,800 for the year.

Our operating services, which includes everything from printing, telephone, and rental fees, everything we use day-to-day, is a \$145,964.53; and our office supplies and our gas for our vehicles \$22,700 for a total operating expense account of \$178,464.53.

For our professional services under accounting, would be the CPA and our legislative auditor \$17,100. Our legal at \$70,016, and our other services which would include the court reporter, our IT services, anything that is not included in our accounting and our legal, \$44,623.15, for a total professional services of \$131,739.15. And our other charges will be computer charges, our Department of Public Service fees, our CAVU fees, and our microfilm fees for the year of \$22,558.65.

We had no acquisitions or major repairs, so we emptied that account out

since we won't be using it, which left us
with a total expenditures of \$981,197.42
for the year.

On Page 3, you will see our total means of financing which is our total license fees, revenues, a total for \$995,158.08, and our total expenditures \$981,197.42, so we came out to the good, almost \$14,000 for the year.

If you have any specific questions, we can go through the other pages.

MR. TURNER:

I have a question under projected number of the specified employees positions, current year '09, it was projected 21.

MS. ELLIS:

We just haven't changed next years. I can't change next year's total until we amend that budget.

MR. TURNER:

Okay.

MS. ELLIS:

That is why those aren't different from this year's yet.

24

5

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

MR. TURNER: 2 Okay. 3 MS. ELLIS: Any questions? (No response.) MR. PARNELL: 7 Is that everything? No questions? (No response.) MR. PARNELL: 10 Do we need to amend the budget? 11 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 12 I think we do. I think we do. So let 13 me understand this. So that is -- what 14 she just went over is the current year 15 actual estimated --16 MR. PARNELL: 17 Correct. 18 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 19 -- because we've got one more month. 20 And then what we have in the next column 21 is the projected year budgeted which is 22 what we need to amend the budget to; 23 right? 24 MS. ELLIS: 25 (Nodded head.)

```
1
              MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
 2
                  Nos
              MS. FILLIS:
                  It is the current year actual.
              MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
 6
                  Oh, that's what we have to --
 7
              MS. ELLIS:
                  7/1/09 to 6/30/2010.
              MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
10
                  All right. Well, I quess, somebody
11
               needs to make a motion to amend that.
12
              MR. ROY:
13
                  T so motion.
14
              MR. SMITH:
15
                  I second.
16
              MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
17
                  You second. All in favor?
18
                   (All "Aye" responses.)
19
              MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
20
                  Any opposed?
21
                   (No response.)
22
              MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
23
                  Okay. That passes.
24
              MR. PARNELL:
25
                  Okay. The third thing on the
```

-

financial matters is payment of invoices which is Sheri Morris for legal services. The reason why it shows two months on this April and May is because someone in her office submitted her an April bill after the fifth of the month. And so we believe that Chairman Robertson stated that anything after the fifth, it must go onto the next month. It wouldn't be on that current agenda. Being that we are closing the fiscal year, we need to actually move forward in both April and May.

If you notice, this was — the bulk of the Legislative Session was in April.

There was a lot of work that was being done as it relates to legislation. The April bill was \$7,000, and the May bill was \$3,893.75. I would like to ask Ms.

Morris if anyone has any questions, if she would maybe go through the bill just to talk about it if anyone has any questions as it relates to it.

MS. MORRIS:

Mostly due to the legislation, we have had a number of meetings Mr. Duplessis has

attended on. On House Bill 1189, we have had inquires from people in three different states. We work with two different sets of lobbyists to try to come up with some amendments to our original proposal.

We also had an unemployment appeal in this time period during the Session. We prepared and got all the documents together and prepared all the witnesses and the plaintiff didn't show, so we won by default, but we had to prepare as if they would be there, because we didn't know that they would not show. So we were successful in avoiding a \$7,000 unemployment appeal as a result of the work done in that month as well.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

Derek and I have gone over the bill, her invoice previously. I didn't have any questions. Does anyone else have any questions about that?

(No response.)

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

The time spent on the legislative

1 agenda is significant, and I appreciate 2 your help in that. All right. If they 3 are no questions, I quess, we need to have a motion to pay Ms. Morris's bill for 5 April and May. 6 MR. BOURGEOIS: 7 I make a motion. MR. FLOYD: 9 Second. 10 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): Motion, seconded. All in favor? 11 12 (All "Aye" responses.) 13 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 14 Any opposed? 15 (No response.) 16 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 17 Okay. Motion passes. Okay. The next 18 thing is legal matters and pending 19 litigation. Derek, are you going to 20 handle that for us? 21 MR. PARNELL: 22 Yes. I have asked Mr. Hileman to be 23 here today. Let me just briefly go over 24 it. The appeal with Atchafalaya R.V. and 25 Doubletree R.V., that's what has been

going on. It has been appealed by the manufacturer in the First Circuit Court of Appeals.

According to the Counsel, the original brief had been filed in the Court of Appeals but there has been no response as of yet, but we are expecting to hear something with it this week or next week.

LUNBC versus Sundance Boats, Attorney
Hileman has submitted a reply brief to the
First Circuit Court of Appeals, so we are
just waiting on that to hear what is going
on, what is going to happen with that. It
has been going on for a little while now
with both of these, but it is a process,
and, you know, it has to go through the
process in order for us to go forward with
it.

Claims against Surety Bond, it really is two of them that has been out there. Attorney Hileman, he presented me with two releases. One for \$20,000 dollars which was in May. We haven't received that as of yet, which is taking a little bit longer than it should, but he has also

.

sent a communication to the bond company that if payment is not received by Friday, June 25, he is going to take further action against them to already get those funds in. That would \$20,000 dollars.

Westside Wholesale is one that was on that list as well. I believe, it is on page 5 or page 11, I'm not for sure on that. It is for \$5,850 dollars. This was something that I just signed a release this week, so we should be getting that money in fairly quick.

Main Street Motors, that \$20,000 dollars, the bond company, they tend to drag their feet a little bit, and it is the same bond company that we dealt with with the \$26,000 dollars, and it took quite some time to come in. But, I mean, that is just something that we have just really got to try to work at.

What I am going to try to start doing as it relates to those companies, I am going to go to the commissioner of insurance and try to maybe file a complaint on some of them for not paying

1 in a timely fashion, because they are 2 taking too long on some of them when they 3 know -- well, they will constantly tell us that it is coming, it's on the way, we 5 should get it next week or the next day 6 and they send it out, but that isn't the 7 case mainly. So I think I may go forward 8 and try to file a complaint against some of those bond companies. 10 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 11 How long has that one been out? 12 MR. PARNELL: 13 Since May. 14 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 15 The \$20,000 --16 MR. PARNELL: 17 Yes, the \$20,000 dollars. 18 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 19 What is the normal payment timeline? 20 MR. PARNELL: 21 It usually takes anywhere from three 22 weeks or so. 23 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 24 Okay. So they are --25 MR. PARNELL:

1 Once everything is received, in about 2 three weeks we should get it back in. But now it's taking a little bit longer than 4 it should. And if you recall, the \$26,000 5 that we received, it took -- what was it? 6 Almost six months. 7 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): Yeah, it took a long time. 9 MR. PARNELL: 10 And it finally didn't come from the 11 actual bond company, it came from -- where 12 was that? 13 MS. BARON: 14 The broker. 15 MR. PARNELL: 16 The broker actually just decided to go 17 ahead and cut a check and send it on over. 18 MS. BARON: 19 They didn't want to get the bond 20 company to repay them. 21 MR. PARNELL: 22 Yeah. 23 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 24 All right. Any questions or 25 comments on the legal matters and pending

litigation?

(No response.)

All right. Derek, I guess, you're up again. The executive director's report.

MR. PARNELL:

Okay. The first item is a review of monthly complaint totals. Looking in the month of May 1 through May 31, 2010, the total assigned cases were 63. The total completed cases were 29. Total of open cases currently are 34. The total percent completed was 46 percent. As we know these cases are ongoing as something that we — you know, some can be handled really quick and some take a little bit more time.

The majority of those cases that are coming in, are non-delivery of title though. We kind of know that that is typically the large -- the bulk of where our complaints come in from.

The second item on that list is House
Bill 589, rent with the option to purchase
status. As you recall, on our last
meeting, we had some testimony and we had

some representatives here just talking about House Bill 589. This is the bill that would change the complexion of how our rent to own dealers would actually acquire their insurance.

1

2

3

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

What we have done is -- after that meeting that we had last commission meeting, we had a phone conference with representatives from that side as well as Commissioner Duplessis and Hileman and I, we had a phone conference where we just kind of hashed it all out. You know, according to the -- not the author, but the creator of that Bill, their goal was not to have a monopoly, but, you know, the way everything was looking it was pointing towards that. And that was one thing that they assured us that they weren't trying to do. So what we looked at doing -- we looked at the numbers where we were as it relates to who we had with admitted coverage and who we did not. And we reviewed those numbers.

I had Kim run these numbers for me, and it came back at like 96 percent of all

3

5

7

8

q

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

our dealers are compliant with the law as it is written right now. It is kind of -it is really only like five or six dealers out there that don't have the admitted coverage. So that is a small number. And, I guess, once we presented that to them, they were relieved of that and they just kind of let that bill die out, so. They didn't move forward with it, so that was the end of that bill.

The next item, item number three is CAVU online renewals update. As we all know, we have been working really hard trying to make sure that we are in a different position than we were last year as it relates to renewals. And one of those ways of maybe doing that is with online processes.

We have been in close contact with the CAVU representatives. This is something that hadn't been done in the past. Weekly we have been talking with them. They have actually come in and they have developed a test site for us right now for us to go on and look at how the process is going to

work a little bit.

There is a new feature that is on the upgrade that we were scheduled to get a year and a half ago, but there is a new feature on there. It's called ".net".

And this is what we have talked about in the past.

It is going to be a great method for us to actually have the dealers go ahead and go online and renew their applications. It will kind of take some of the labor out for us here in the office. We will still go in and control it, but it will take out some of the -- due to the fact that we don't have the man power as we used to have, it will help out the process a great deal. So that has been going really well.

Toward the end of this month, we are supposed to have that upgrade. This upgrade, typically when it happens -- we have sometimes lost some information in the past when it has happened. So I was just trying to work with them and make sure that we don't lose any information

and make sure everything goes pretty smooth.

That is going to be done usually -- I probably will have them shut us down probably around 4:00 o'clock on a Friday and go ahead and do the upgrade for us, so we can have a little time for it to kind of work a little bit. So on Monday when we come back at the end of this month, we will be already upgraded and ready to move forward.

This is a huge concern right now for me, because I can't see us functioning the way we did in the last few years. It was very antiquated and very slow, and it is just not the direction we need to be going in.

I think we are going to be moving forward in a really great direction and we need to get it going. We have timelines here to try to meet as well as CAVU. I have put a lot of demands on them because in the past, we have been paying them and they just haven't been providing us with what we need. And I just really let them

know it needs to change. We need to do a better job on both sides of insuring that we have everything that we need. So that is pretty much everything that has been going on here this past month, the major issues.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

I have a question about that on the online renewals. How are you going to roll that out to the dealers?

MR. PARNELL:

Well, there are a couple of ways that we can do it. One way that Commissioner Robinson and I were talking about is actually make it not optional, so to speak. If it is up and available, everyone who has a license currently and they are renewing, basically send it out to them via e-mail or let them know that when they update, when they want to renew their license, they must go to this site. They must use their password and update that way.

Now, is that going to happen? No. We are not going to get that large of a

turnout, I know that, that first year.

The first two years, maybe not. But I think by year three, I think, if we can get up to like 70 percent online renewals, it will be a great, great process. But again, I know that there are a lot of dealers that may not even use computers at this moment.

And we will still, you know, be able to do the hard paperwork for them. They will still be able to send their documents in, but we really want to try to move forward in a different direction, because I understand that once you use it online, you are not going to want to do it the old way, you know. It is a lot better, because you really kind of take us more out of the equation. You are just going in and updating.

Yes, we are going to review the insurances and make sure everything is there, but other than that, you are pretty much doing it and you can either print your license out yourself or just save it on your own. I think, it is going to be

very beneficial to all our dealers.

MR. TURNER:

Whatever came to possibly having two renewal dates over the year for different dealers?

MR. PARNELL:

We talked about that, and that was a great thing that without legislation when it went through we kind of were able to take away that December 31st deadline, so we will have some flexibility in doing that.

This year I don't think we will be able to do that. Next year moving forward, we may try to implement some kind of a break in the license renewal dates. But that was one of the main points that we needed to -- in House Bill 1189 to change that December 31st date, so we can kind of manipulate the way we want to do that renewal period.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

Didn't we also talk about maybe doing a two-year renewal?

MR. PARNELL:

We did, yes. That was also something that --

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

Is there an option maybe for people to have a two-year renewal on this go around?

MR. PARNELL:

Not that -- we haven't really cemented anything actually as of yet.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):
Okay.

MR. PARNELL:

This is the initial process. Again, like I said, they are a little bit behind on the deliverable that they said they would have for us at this point. I believe, it was early March or early May, early April they were supposed to have this already in place for us, so right now we could be really working on trying to implement different ideas and getting it done, but they were late on that. So we are right now at the beginning process of trying to get all that information.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

Any of the dealers in the room have

any comments on that, on the online renewal? I mean, does that -- it seems like a good idea to me.

I think it's a great idea. I think

the idea of a two-year renewal is a pretty

,

MR. TURNER:

MR. PARNELL:

good idea, too.

Initially, when -- I guess, a method that could be used with that two-year renewal -- it was kind of like if you had been a dealer ten years or more, you had that option of a two-year renewal. That was something that we just threw around, whereas you could go in and pay the two years and go ahead and have your license for two years. Under that, you know, it just has a lot of things that we need to play with and try to figure out exactly how we want to deal with it and which way would best to make it the smoothest transition as possible.

MR. TURNER:

That will help eliminate the slam at the end of the year.

MR. PARNELL:

Yeah.

1

5

6

7

Я

10

11

12

13

1.4

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. TURNER:

That's one way to do that.

MR. PARNELL:

Right.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

Okay. Thank you, Derek. I guess, the next thing here, we have our committee reports. We've got one committee to report. Mr. Duplessis, the legislative committee. Are you glad it is over?

MR. DUPLESSIS:

We know this wasn't the toughest year
I've ever seen, but it was probably the
most successful. We got together early in
the process and we realized that we were
going to have to sacrifice our fee bill
right off the bat. I think maybe two or
three of them passed, you know, the rest
of them failed. So we knew if we attached
the fee bills, the grail, that we were
going to sacrifice everything else. So by
Ms. Morris's suggestion -- my observation
-- Mr. Parnell, who -- Derek did a good

7a436898-df79-491c-8c07-94c0707d4877

job. He learned a lot, and he learned a lot of things I think he didn't want to learn.

MR. PARNELL:

Right.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

But, you know, nevertheless, it was the process, and we spent a lot of time with the industry. We still have a lot more work to do in my opinion. What we did get is we got the fee bill. We got our fees restructured and we had the abilities to do that.

Now, we've got to pass rules -policies and rules to get a lot of these
things implemented, so in the future we
will have these things on the agenda. We
did get off-premises displays that is
going to be a little testy. We've got to
write the application. We've got to write
the policies and then promulgate the rules
eventually, and that is something for the
full Commission to be involved in.

I didn't -- I didn't want to take the liberty of getting that deep in the Bill,

because we can manage that here in the Commission, plus we can make it flexible as things change. So something so complicated is going to require you-all's full attention to be able to make it a moving living type of document.

We captured the auctions to a great degree, and what we had to realize there was that you are going to have online auctions. We can't control eBay. We are not going to be able to control Craig's list. We have to manage it if we're going to stay. We have to accommodate the FEMA trailers. We have to accommodate every industry as best we can.

We can't stay in the way of free enterprise, but we have to make sure the consumer gets a good deal, and we act responsibly for all of our licensees and our consumers. So I think overall, the Session was continuous, it was tense. We worked things out. It was a good Session.

I think you should be proud of your executive director and your legal counsel, both Mr. Hallack and Ms. Morris. They did

a great job in drafting and negotiating.

I think -- none of us came up with what we wanted, but that is a sign of a good political process at the end.

But, really, Sheri, I am going to let you kind of handle 1189. If you will give us a quick review, and I am going to ask Mr. Hileman to make some comments about his concerns here today, because when we passed 1189, we passed the skeleton and the framework. It is the Commission's responsibility to flush out the rest of the piece of work, so.

MS. MORRIS:

The Bill is pretty much as you-all approved. We did have some adjustments. It was amended in every step of the way, and so we were constantly adjusting, plus we had a lot of proposed amendments that we didn't agree with, so you don't see those in the Bill that we had to, you know, conference about it and decide that those were not in our best interest and try to come up with other plans.

We did get the off-premise display

permit, and we did get it without a fee, so if it becomes too cumbersome, you are going to have to design your rules so that it is not too cumbersome for your office, but it will give you some history when you go back to the legislature as to how

You did get the flexibility to review the December 31st renewal date which will allow you to stagger your licenses or to have two-year period licenses. The only thing with the two-year period, you would want to, you know, stagger it so you are not taking in more than one year's revenue, because one of the things we also paid a lot of attention to during the Session was the Bill by Representative Smiley which would have made you lower your fees if you had a fund balance on a certain date of a certain amount.

interested people are in this permit.

That Bill did not pass. Hopefully, it won't be tacked on to something today. But as far as we know, there is no such measure that it was sent to the governor at this point. But there was a lot of

attention paid to the agencies that have fund balances. And for quite some time arguments said that agencies shouldn't be able to carry fund balances, but we all know that we wouldn't be able to make our July payment with that fund balance. So we did spend quite a bit of time on that as well.

There is also another Bill that is on the Senate floor, and I don't know if it is going to pass or not. It allows licensing agencies to offer group insurance policies to their licensees. I don't know whether that will pass. But that might be -- if it does pass that might be the topic of some discussion later on, but it is on the Senate floor and they have until 6:00 o'clock today.

Other things you did get are your volume increase for your dealers that sell a higher volume of cars. And you did get the language on your warranty that the dealers wanted for clarification of what it means for "as-is" and how to comply with that and how to comply with that if

it is an online transaction.

2

3

4

5

6

7

_

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

definition of a dealer to try to prevent curb stoning to limit it to the transaction. If it has got five vehicles, that it be your car or an immediate family member or a car that belongs to a business that you have an interest in rather than just any car. That will give us a little bit better re-enforcement mechanism, I think, in defining those transactions. But we did -- we did get the standards that we wanted for the wholesale auctions, and we did split out the definitions of public auction and wholesale auctions. we can have separate regulations for those two types of entities, because their operations are very different.

You also got the clarification on the

And then in the process -- as people send in amendments and evaluate them, we realize that the language throughout this Chapter is not -- it is not the same. It is not consistent, so we had to make adjustments to make sure that all dealers were covered or all our licensees were

22

23

24

25

1

covered. Like in the place of -- an established place of business, it really only talked about salvage for some of your licensees, so we made that apply to all licensees. And we tried -- we have some more work to get there to get consistency throughout the Chapter, but I think your lot has been patched so many times with the recreational vehicles coming in and then going out and the separate Chapters, and it really needs to be more uniform now that you are down to a lesser classification of licensees, so that is something that can continue to be worked But the amendments that were proposed kind of highlighted some inconsistencies in the lobby. We made sure we had consistent language for all licensees.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

Thank you. I think there was -- we had someone else that --

MR. DUPLESSIS:

Yes. I think that when we patch this thing together, I think the only thing that we came away with other than the

-2

Commission is going to have to flush out the rest, is the auctions vary in such vast characteristics that we realize that we couldn't control the Internet auction by say the FEMA trailer auction.

We have to try to accommodate all auctions, but while they are different, that doesn't mean that we can't have different provisions for different things. And one of the things that was interesting, after the session, I don't want to throw anybody under the bus because we came to some agreements, but I do think that Mr. Hileman has some comments about his industry and they are very valid.

What I told Mr. Hileman is very simply, we have the ability -- we have to accept the application, be it prior to your initial work and then we have to look at our policies which then will become rules. So we just can't write one blanket bill that covers everything. We have got so many different various entities, so now it's a process to start that we're going

1 to have

to have to control some of this stuff.

I think, he has got a few things that he wants to speak to. And I'm fine with hearing everything he has to say, because he's got some good points.

MR. HILEMAN:

I would like to just thank

Commissioner Duplessis for working with us throughout the process and along with

Derek and along with Sheri. I will tell you, at the end we weren't happy with the LKQ Corporation.

We are very, very concerned about the language that ultimately went in Bill 1189 on your public auctions. You do have a scenario at this point, as I reviewed it and Commissioner Duplessis and I have agreed to disagree, and that's fine. We certainly can continue to work together on it.

I am very concerned that you will have a situation where you are going to have a public auction where I can sell a vehicle from any state to any person in any state. And I think that is a very dangerous,

dangerous thing.

You know, we deal with this language all across the country. Three weeks before I came up here, I was in Missouri, and the exact same language -- I will just tell you -- you are going to have the opportunity to (inaudible). You are just going to need to be very, very careful with what you've got. That language in there, in my opinion, it is extremely dangerous.

I can tell you that in Missouri, we had the Attorney General's Office, we had the State Police, and we had the Department of Revenue all objecting to that language. They were all concerned about it.

The concern is you now have an auction that -- hopefully, there will be rules and regs, and I understand that. And, ultimately, maybe those will be able to control that at auction to the extent that you need to -- I am concerned that those folks who have pushed that language, they have a very serious investment in the

agenda that they are pushing. And that agenda is to end up to where they can auction a vehicle anywhere in any state to anybody, and that is the language they have right now.

I recognize you are going to be doing rules and regs, but you're going to have to be really, really careful. They are -- they have a business model that they're pushing, and they are doing it all across the country. There are a lot of folks that are concerned about it.

We are seeing salvaged vehicles. I just saw, I think, it is car fashion in a recent report that the number of salvage vehicles that are showing up in public sales doubled last year. And it is a problem. And, you know, one of the things that we try is to keep salvage sales limited to licensed salvage dealers.

It has really been something we have always pushed hard on. You-all had a big car dealer at one time, that no longer exists. That is our primary concern. It is a primary concern for law enforcement.

I can tell you that we have worked fairly close with the Department of Justice. We have worked with the folks that are managing the NVIS Program. They are very concerned about it.

And I will tell you and Commissioner
Duplessis will tell you, we fought hard
against that language. We did not want to
see that language end up in Louisiana, but
you've got it. And I would just recommend
strongly that you take the rules and
regulations process extremely seriously,
and do everything you can to regulate that
in that industry, because otherwise you
are going to have a wide open shot where
vehicles are being moved from any state in
the Union, any country in the world, and
buyers in any state in any country.

I mean, if folks didn't want that language -- you can go to their website right now, they have sites that say we can sell you -- we can get your vehicle -- if you buy, we can get your vehicle to anywhere, that is the business model, but that is a good business model. You know,

if they were -- if they get where they want to be, they are not even going to have any physical locations. That is the real scary thing.

As I read the language that you now have, you don't have to have a physical location. I mean, yes, you have to have the minimum physical location, but the language allows me as a public auction house to sell a vehicle in the possession of a third party, wherever it may be, I don't have to have an off-premises permit to do it, that is the language that is in there, and I can sell that vehicle. All I have to do is comply with the other licensing requirements here in Louisiana, so it is a concern. I think, you-all should take it very seriously and just know that going in that that is what you're looking at.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

I have two comments about that. One is what you just described. I know what you're talking about, but you also described eBay, and I don't know how, you

1

2

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

A

б

~ -

know, what we're going to do about eBay or how anyone is going to control eBay and the way they conduct auctions.

And then the second thing is, I think you're right. I think that we have enough latitude in the language that we can come up with some regulations that can make sure that your concerns and our concerns as a Commission and the concerns of our dealers, can be addressed. It is just going to have to take some careful wording, and, you know, paying attention to what we're doing, but I agree with your concerns. I think there are some things there.

But I -- again, I -- what concerns me is you've got somebody like eBay that is doing the very same thing. And I know that there are other Internet auctions that are involved in the same type of thing where they are selling things with no physical business location. So I think it gets back to what Ron said, we're going to have to be very careful about how we craft the language and how we put together

the rules and regulations.

MR. BOURGEOIS:

I have seen the other Bills in prior years drafted -- just -- they look for another state that already did all the homework. I mean, are there -- what is the best state or some deals that we can look at that has been passed in other states?

MR. HILEMAN:

You know, Alabama has done a good job, and they have been fighting this fight for years.

MR. BOURGEOIS:

Copy their bill of lading and maybe modify it to fit?

MR. HILEMAN:

That wouldn't be a bad start. Let's see -- I am trying to think of who is probably the toughest. You know, Michigan is in a fight right now. Michigan has some pretty good stuff in there. They have got some -- they have actual agent requirement which is good. It is effective. And they are trying to wipe

Я

that out this year as a matter of fact. There is a Bill pending to try and kill that. I'll be happy to provide you with that.

MR. BOURGEOIS:

Yeah, if you can e-mail Derek. If you can e-mail Derek some of the Bills, and then he can bring it to us and Ron won't have to do as much homework, I don't think.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I will say -- and, Frank, I don't know if we disagree about it actually. We agree on the concept. What we probably disagree on is the methodology. But, you know -- and we both agreed at the Capitol that we currently have nothing.

MR. BOURGEOIS:

Yes.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

So if we would have passed nothing, we would have had less control than what we have. But let me tell you, you're not — the public outcry, I don't want to sit at the table and listen to everybody cry to

me about eBay. eBay ain't going away.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

No, it ain't going away.

MR. DUPLESSIS:

I don't want eBay to go away because

-- but we have to find -- and they will
always have bricks and mortar. They will
always have agents, and we can require
that and what we do in the states. So,
you know, I thought I put together the
best skeleton that I could to have the
commissioners come together and give me
input from every end.

We can't address one business. We have obligations to promote free enterprise, to promote transactions in an ethical manner. So that was the job I did and now we've got to finish it up. We will welcome your testimony and anything you want to submit to us, we will read, we will listen to, we will consider it, and we will look at all the evidence.

MR. HILEMAN:

Thank you. I appreciate it.

MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN):

1 All right. Is that all you have, Ron? 2 MR. DUPLESSIS: 3 I am done. It was a good Session. MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 5 Did you have a comment? 6 MR. BOURGEOIS: 7 No, I am all in favor. MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): All right. Well, it looks like we 10 have no hearings for June. 11 MR. BOURGEOIS: 12 Motion to adjourn? 13 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 14 Just about. Any items for next 15 agenda? Does anybody have anything they 16 want to --17 (No responses.) 18 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 19 Okay. I quess, we need a motion to 20 adjourn. 21 MR. BOURGEOIS: 22 I motion. 23 MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): 24 A motion right here. 25 MR. ROY:

Page 54 I second. MR. POTEET (CHAIRMAN): A second. All right. We're done. (Whereupon, the taking of the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle Commission Monthly Meeting was concluded at 10:17 a.m.)

REPORTER'S PAGE

I, Angie Henning, Certified Court Reporter, in and for the State of Louisiana, the officer, as defined in Rule 28 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and/or Article 1434(b) of the Louisiana Code of Civil Procedure, before whom this meeting with the Louisiana Used Motor Vehicle Commission was taken, do hereby state on the record:

That due to the interaction in the spontaneous discourse of this hearing/proceeding, dashes (--) have been used to indicate pauses, changes in thought, and/or talkovers; that same is the proper method for a court reporter's transcription of proceeding; that the dashes (--) do not indicate that words or phrases have been left out of this transcript; and that any words and/or names which could not be verified through reference material have been denoted with the phrase "(phonetic)."

1 STATE OF LOUISIANA: 2 PARISH OF EAST BATON ROUGE: I, Angie Henning, Certified Court Reporter in and for the State of Louisiana, as the officer before whom this meeting was taken, do hereby 6 7 the meeting of the 10 11 COMMISSION MONTHLY MEETING, 12 13 14 15 16

certify that the foregoing pages, constitute a true and correct transcription to the best of my ability of the evidence adduced on the taking of LOUISTANA USED MOTOR VEHICLE

on Monday, the 21st day of June 2010, 3132 Valley Creek, Baton Rouge, Louisiana; that the statements for this hearing was reported by me in the voicewriting reporting method and thereafter reduced to typewriting by me; that I am not related to counsel or to the parties herein, nor am I otherwise interested in the outcome of this matter.

20

17

18

19

21

22

23

24

25

ANGIE HENNING, CVR, CCR #23023